*ORFLS* (Busing, Martin & Levy, 1962). All calculations were performed on an IBM 3090 computer. *ORTEPII* (Johnson, 1976) running on a Macintosh IIcx was used for the structural diagrams.

This research was supported by the Science and Engineering Research Council and the Australian Research Council. KAF would like to thank the SERC for a research studentship and also the Sir Robert Menzies Centre for Australian Studies for the award of a Northcote Visiting Scholarship.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, H-atom coordinates and bond distances and angles involving non-H atoms have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: OH1066). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

#### References

- Bradley, D. C. & Kunchur, N. R. (1965). Can. J. Chem. 43, 2786–2792.
- Busing, W. R., Martin, K. O. & Levy, H. A. (1962). ORFLS. Report ORNL-TM-305. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA. Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge
- National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Meulenaer, J. de & Tompa, H. (1965). Acta Cryst. 19, 1014-1018.
- Wertheim, E. (1929). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 51, 3661-3664.
- Wright, J. G., Natan, M. J., MacDonnell, F. M., Ralston, D. M. & O'Halloran, T. V. (1990). Prog. Inorg. Chem. 38, 323-412.

Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 408-410

# Tris(*N*,*N*-diethyldithiocarbamato-*S*,*S*')phenyltin

ELEONÓRA KELLÖ, VIKTOR VRÁBEL AND IVAN SKAČÁNI

Department of Analytical Chemistry, Faculty of Chemical Technology, Slovak Technical University, Radlinského 9, 812 37 Bratislava, Slovakia

### JAROSLAV HOLEČEK

Department of General and Inorganic Chemistry, Institute of Chemical Technology, 532 10 Pardubice, Czech Republic

(Received 15 November 1993; accepted 4 May 1994)

### Abstract

The title structure,  $[Sn(C_5H_{10}NS_2)_3(C_6H_5)]$ , consists of monomeric molecular chelate complexes in which the Sn atom has distorted pentagonal bipyramidal coordination with five S atoms in equatorial sites. One of the dithiocarbamate ligands has a short [2.570 (2) Å] and a long [2.766 (2) Å] Sn—S distance, a second dithiocarbamate ligand has almost equal Sn—S distances [2.676 (2) and 2.602 (2) Å] and the axial-equatorial dithiocarbamate ligand has a long equatorial Sn—S distance [2.757 (2) Å] and a short axial Sn—S distance [2.458 (2) Å]. The axial Sn—C distance is 2.113 (3) Å. Distortion from the idealized pentagonal bipyramid has been attributed to the steric requirements of the four-membered  $MS_2C$  ring and to the limited bite angle of the dithiocarbamate ligand.

# Comment

Organotin dithiocarbamate complexes have been the subject of numerous spectroscopic and structural studies because of their industrial importance (Evans & Karpel, 1985) and their antifungal and insecticidal properties (Kumar Das, Chen & Sinn, 1985). Although extensive biological and structural studies have been conducted on the five-coordinate Sn<sup>IV</sup>-dtc (dtc = dithiocarbamate) complexes, there is less information available with regard to crystal structure determinations of seven-coordinate Sn<sup>IV</sup> complexes. Morris & Schlemper (1978, 1979) investigated the crystal structures of MeSn(Et<sub>2</sub>dtc)<sub>3</sub> and "BuSn-(Et<sub>2</sub>dtc)<sub>3</sub> which each exhibit distorted pentagonal bipyramidal geometry around the Sn atom with an S atom and methyl/ethyl group in axial positions. The metal environment in the title compound differs from that of  $MeSn(Et_2dtc)_3$  and "BuSn(Et\_2dtc)\_3 in that the alkyl group is replaced by the bulkier phenyl group. This change was the reason for determining the structure of the title compound, (I).



The molecular structure and atom-numbering scheme are shown in Fig. 1. The structure of the title compound consists of monomeric chelate molecules. The Sn atom is pentagonal-bipyramidally coordinated with the phenyl C atom and the S atom of one of the three dtc ligands in apical positions. One equatorial bidentate dtc ligand shows two nearly equal Sn—S bond distances [Sn—S(5) 2.676 (2) and Sn—S(6) 2.602 (2) Å]; for the anisobidentate dtc ligand there is a significant difference in the Sn—S bond distances with Sn—S(3) and Sn—S(4) having



Fig. 1. Perspective drawing of the title compound showing the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 40% probability level.

lengths of 2.570 (2) and 2.766 (2) Å, respectively. The axial Sn—S(1) bond of 2.458 (2) Å is the shortest. All equatorial bond lengths are longer than the sum of the covalent radii (2.44 Å) and longer than the Sn—S bond distances found in less sterically hindered six-coordinate Sn—S compounds such as Sn(dtc)<sub>4</sub> (Harreld & Schlemper, 1971).

The deformation of the coordination polyhedron is affected by numerous factors which may include steric as well as electronic effects. A comparison of structural data of some seven-coordinate dtc complexes indicates that the distortion is usual. For instance, the axial S(1)-Sn-C(1) angle in the title compound is 164.8 (1)°, in MeSn(dtc)<sub>3</sub> it is  $163.3 (3)^{\circ}$ , in "BuSn(Et<sub>2</sub>dtc)<sub>3</sub> 166.3 (5)° and in the diorgano-dtc chelate  $[MeO_2C(CH_2)_2Sn(Me_2dtc)_2]_2$ 154.3 (3)° (Ng, Chen, Kumar Das, Jameson & Butcher, 1989). The equatorial S-Sn-S angles deviate significantly from the ideal value of 72° because of steric requirements and the limited bite angle of the dtc ligand. The Sn-C distance [2.113 (3) Å] is comparable to the Sn-C distances in  $Ph_3SnS_2CN(CH_2)_5$  [2.151 (4) Å average; Chandra, James, Magee, Patalinghung, Skelton & White, 1988], Ph<sub>2</sub>Sn{S<sub>2</sub>CN(*cyclo*-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>11</sub>)<sub>2</sub>}Cl [2.103 (5) Å average; Basu-Baul & Tiekink, 1993] and other phenyl-Sn-dtc complexes. The least-squares data reveal that the plane composed of the atoms S(2), S(3), S(4), S(5) and S(6) is not planar, with atoms S(2) and S(5) deviating from the pentagonal girdle by -0.231 (2) and 0.203 (2) Å, respectively. The Sn

### Experimental

Crystals were prepared by the reaction of stoichiometric amounts of phenyltin(IV) trichloride and sodium diethylthiocarbamate in methanol. After filtration to remove the precipitated NaCl, the mixture was evaporated *in vacuo*. A yellow solid was recrystallized from acetone. The density  $D_m$  was measured by flotation in ZnSO<sub>4</sub> solution.

Crystal data

$[Sn(C_5H_{10}NS_2)_3(C_6H_5)]$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$M_r = 640.578$	$\lambda = 0.71069 \text{ Å}$
Monoclinic	Cell parameters from 15
$P2_{1}/c$	reflections
a = 11.400 (7) Å	$\theta = 3-6.5^{\circ}$
b = 13.680 (9) Å	$\mu = 1.33 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 18.543 (12)  Å	T = 293  K
$\beta = 99.10(5)^{\circ}$	Prismatic
V = 2855 (3) Å <sup>3</sup>	$0.30 \times 0.15 \times 0.15$ mm
Z = 4	Yellow
$D_r = 1.49 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$	
$D_m = 1.43$ (2) Mg m <sup>-3</sup>	

Data collection  $\theta_{\rm max} = 27.5^{\circ}$ XP21 diffractometer  $h = 0 \rightarrow 12$  $\theta/2\theta$  scans  $k = 0 \rightarrow 14$ Absorption correction:  $l = -19 \rightarrow 19$ none 2 standard reflections 5797 measured reflections monitored every 98 5627 independent reflections reflections 2681 observed reflections intensity decay: <5%  $[I > 1.96\sigma(I)]$  $R_{\rm int} = 0.040$ 

### Refinement

Refinement on F	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o) + (0.015F_o)^2]$
R = 0.046	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.15$
wR = 0.044	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.50 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
S = 1.231	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.79 \ { m e} \ { m \AA}^{-3}$
2555 reflections	Atomic scattering factors
280 parameters	from International Tables
H-atom parameters not	for X-ray Crystallography
refined	(1974, Vol. IV)

 
 Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å<sup>2</sup>)

	Be	$e_q = (4/3) \sum_i \sum_j$	$\beta_{ij}\mathbf{a}_i.\mathbf{a}_j.$	
	x	y	z	$B_{eq}$
Sn	0.2251 (1)	0.2332 (1)	0.0799(1)	4.44 (İ)
S(1)	0.4328(1)	0.2557(1)	0.1374 (1)	5.15 (3)
S(2)	0.2980(1)	0.4246(1)	0.0727 (1)	5.07 (3)
S(3)	0.2720(1)	0.0680(1)	0.0250(1)	4.95 (3)
S(4)	0.3171 (1)	0.2470(1)	-0.0491 (1)	4.86 (3)

S(5)	0.1555(1)	0.3215(1)	0.1948 (1)	5.56 (3)
S(6)	0.1707 (1)	0.1115 (1)	0.1772 (1)	6.25 (4)
N(1)	0.5217 (2)	0.4304 (2)	0.1328(1)	4.88 (9)
N(2)	0.3637 (2)	0.0719 (2)	-0.0960(1)	4.54 (10)
N(3)	0.0667 (3)	0.1952 (2)	0.2781 (2)	6.92 (13)
<b>C</b> (1)	0.0508 (3)	0.2532 (2)	0.0233 (2)	3.71 (9)
C(2)	-0.0219(3)	0.1736(3)	0.0044 (2)	4.98 (12)
C(3)	-0.1359 (3)	0.1884 (3)	-0.0318 (2)	6.26 (14)
C(4)	-0.1781 (3)	0.2780 (3)	-0.0478 (2)	6.61 (14)
C(5)	-0.1046 (3)	0.3566 (3)	-0.0289 (2)	5.83 (13)
C(6)	0.0065 (3)	0.3442 (3)	0.0080 (2)	4.70 (11)
C(7)	0.4270 (3)	0.3770 (3)	0.1161 (2)	4.69 (11)
C(8)	0.5215 (3)	0.5333 (3)	0.1192 (2)	6.54 (14)
C(9)	0.4989 (5)	0.5900(3)	0.1826 (3)	10.30 (21)
C(10)	0.6373 (3)	0.3885 (3)	0.1609 (2)	5.85 (13)
C(11)	0.7017 (4)	0.3614 (4)	0.1002 (3)	8.02 (15)
C(12)	0.3222 (3)	0.1242 (2)	-0.0471 (2)	4.30 (11)
C(13)	0.4081 (3)	0.1177 (3)	-0.1569 (2)	5.78 (13)
C(14)	0.5391 (4)	0.1309 (3)	-0.1414 (2)	7.33 (16)
C(15)	0.3649 (4)	-0.0342 (3)	-0.0944 (2)	6.01 (13)
C(16)	0.2573 (4)	-0.0745 (3)	-0.1396 (3)	9.05 (20)
C(17)	0.1252 (3)	0.2081 (2)	0.2238 (2)	5.11 (12)
C(18)	0.0282 (4)	0.2792 (3)	0.3179 (2)	6.82 (15)
C(19)	-0.0911 (4)	0.3149 (3)	0.2822 (2)	8.00 (16)
C(20)	0.0070 (4)	0.0893 (4)	0.2954 (2)	8.42 (17)
C(21)	0.0995 (5)	0.0586 (5)	0.3446 (3)	12.29 (25)

# Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

Sn—S(1)	2.458 (2)	Sn—S(4)	2.766 (2)
SnS(2)	2.757 (2)	Sn—S(5)	2.676 (2)
SnS(3)	2.570 (2)	SnS(6)	2.602 (2)
SnC(1)	2.113 (3)		
C(1)SnS(1)	164.8 (1)	S(1)—Sn—S(4)	84.0(1)
C(1)SnS(2)	96.9 (1)	S(1)SnS(5)	<b>89.1</b> (1)
C(1)SnS(3)	98.9 (1)	S(1)—Sn—S(6)	95.6(1)
C(1)-Sn-S(4)	91.0(1)	S(2)-Sn-S(4)	74.6(1)
C(1) - Sn - S(5)	87.9(1)	S(2)—Sn—S(5)	74.9 (1)
C(1)SnS(6)	96.8(1)	S(3)-Sn-S(6)	78.4 (1)
S(1) - Sn - S(2)	67.9(1)	S(3)-Sn-S(4)	65.5 (1)
S(1) - Sn - S(3)	92.0(1)	S(5) - Sn - S(6)	66.6(1)
S(4)-Sn-S(5)	149.2(1)	S(4)—Sn—S(6)	143.9 (1)
S(3)SnS(5)	145.0(1)	S(2)—Sn—S(3)	137.1(1)
S(2)SnS(6)	138.5 (1)		

Data reduction was performed with the program  $XP2_1$ (Pavelčík, 1987). The structure was solved by the Patterson method and refinement was on F by block-diagonal least squares. H atoms were placed at calculated positions and their coordinates and displacement parameters were fixed. Calculations were performed with a local version of the *NRC* system (Ahmed, Hall, Pippy & Huber, 1973) and *PARST* (Nardelli, 1983).

This research was supported financially by the Ministry of Science and Education.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: NA1077). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

#### References

- Ahmed, F. R., Hall, S. R., Pippy, M. E. & Huber, C. P. (1973). NRC Crystallographic Programs for the IBM3600 System. Accession Nos. 133-147. J. Appl. Cryst. 6, 309-346.
- Basu-Baul, T. S. & Tiekink, E. R. T. (1993). Main Group Met. Chem. 14, 201-207.
- Chandra, S., James, B. D., Magee, J. R., Patalinghung, W. C., Skelton, B. W. & White, A. H. (1988). J. Organomet. Chem. 346, 7-12.
- Evans, C. J. & Karpel, S. (1985). Organotin Compounds in Modern Technology. J. Organomet. Chem. Lib. Vol. 16. Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Harreld, C. S. & Schlemper, E. O. (1971). Acta Cryst. B27, 1964-1969.
- Kumar Das, V. G., Chen, W. & Sinn, E. (1985). J. Organomet. Chem. 290, 291-299.
- Morris, J. S. & Schlemper, E. O. (1978). J. Cryst. Mol. Struct. 8, 295-307.
- Morris, J. S. & Schlemper, E. O. (1979). J. Cryst. Mol. Struct. 9, 1-12.

Nardelli, M. (1983). Comput. Chem. 7, 95-98.

- Ng, S. W., Chen, W., Kumar Das, V. G., Jameson, G. B. & Butcher, R. J. (1989). J. Organomet. Chem. 365, 75-82.
- Pavelčík, F. (1987). XP21. Comenius Univ., Bratislava, Slovakia.